Document 71

Filed 09/08/2008 Page 1 of 5

Case 3:07-cv-04807-MHP

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

Pro..

22

2324

25

2627

28

(1) <u>Jurisdiction and Service</u>:

Bumbo PTY Ltd. has not yet appeared in this action, though they have agreed to accept service of process.

(2) A Brief Description Of The Events Underlying The Action:

The case is essentially one of product liability surrounding the safety of the Bumbo Baby Sitter – a foam seat designed to hold infants before they are strong enough to sit up on their own.

(3) Motions:

While the Parties are not in a position to anticipate every possible pre-trial motion as they are still in a very preliminary stage in the litigation, they anticipate motions relating to discovery issues, especially as they relate to documents maintained by the unanswered Bumbo defendants.

(4) Amendment of Pleadings:

Plaintiffs may amend the complaint to name Bumbo International.

(5) <u>Evidence Preservation</u>:

Plaintiffs requested that Defendant Target preserve their data regarding their gift registry, as the Bumbo product in question was purchased at a Target store as a result of the Plaintiffs' participation in the Target gift registry. This has been done.

(6) <u>Disclosures</u>:

The Parties have exchanged initial disclosures under Rule 26, Fed. R. Civ.

(7) <u>Discovery</u>:

Defendant Target has propounded interrogatories and request for production of documents to Plaintiffs regarding the nature and extent of injuries.

Defendant has also subpoenaed medical records from Kaiser for Dylan Lamm and Kevin Lamm. Plaintiffs are preparing discovery requests to obtain information from Target concerning the purchase of the Bumbo Sitter in question and Target's relationship with

Bumbo PTY Ltd.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(8) Related Cases:

This case has been related to *Wendy Whitson v. Bumbo, et al.*, a class action lawsuit relating to the purchase of the product. Service issues in this related case are identical to those here (see Number 1 above).

In addition, on October 30, 2007, a nationwide class action was filed regarding the Bumbo Baby Sitter in Orange County Superior Court, captioned Mathison et al. v. Bumbo, et al, and later removed to the Central District Court and assigned to Judge David O. Carter in Santa Ana, and assigned Case Number SACV 08-00369 DOC (ANx).

(9) Relief:

Plaintiffs seek personal injury damages (economic and non-economic) as well as injunctive relief.

Defendant seeks dismissal of the action and its costs of suit.

(10) <u>Settlement and ADR</u>:

Plaintiffs and Target have agreed to mediation and Eric Danoff has been assigned as mediator. Target contends that settlement talks are premature at this time given the absence of various potential defendants.

(11) Consent To Magistrate Judge For All Purposes:

Plaintiff does not so consent.

(12) Other References:

The Parties propose no other references at this time.

(13) Narrowing of Issues:

The Parties are committed to narrowing the issues as much as possible, and will meet and confer regarding same.

(14) Expedited Schedule:

Given the difficulty with service of the Bumbo defendants the parties do not believe expedited scheduling is appropriate.

	Case 3:07-cv-04807-MHP	Document 71	Filed 0	9/08/2008	Page 4 of 5
1	(15) <u>Sched</u> ı	uling:			
2	The parties request that scheduling of discovery and pretrial deadlines				
3	should be postponed until service of the Bumbo defendants are completed and				
4	appearance have been made which is expected shortly.				
5	(16) <u>Trial</u> :				
6	Plaintiffs and Target have requested a jury trial. The Parties estimate a				
7	12-15 day jury trial.				
8	(18) Disclosure Of Non-Party Interested Entities Or Persons:				
9	There are no non-party interested persons known to the Plaintiffs or Target				
10	Respectfully submitted,				
11	DATED: September 8, 2008		EDGAR LAW FIRM		
12			Ву:	/s/	
13	· -		ě	JEREMY R Attorneys for	
14				•	
15	DATED: September 8, 2008		BOORNAZIAN, JENSEN & GARTHE		
16	•		Ву:	/s/ GAIL C. TI	DADIOLI
17	_				or Defendant Target
18	·				
19					
20					
21 22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		-3	_		
	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT CASE NO. 07-04807 MHP				

Case 3:07-cv-04807-MHP Document 71 Filed 09/08/2008 Page 5 of 5 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** (28 U.S.C. §1746) I am employed in the County of Alameda, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 555 12th Street, Suite 1800, P. O. Box 12925, Oakland, California 94604-2925. I am readily familiar with the business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. On the date indicated below, at the above-referenced business location, I sealed envelopes, enclosing a copy of the JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT, addressed as shown below, and placed them for collection and mailing following ordinary business practices to be deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date indicated below: Donald S. Edgar, Esq. **Attorneys for Plaintiffs** Jeremy R. Fietz, Esq. Rex Grady, Esq. Edgar LawFirm 408 College Avenue Santa Rosa, CA 95401 (707) 545-3200 Phone (707) 578-3040 Fax ieremv@classattornevs.com Jennifer J. Johnston, Esq. **Attorneys for Defendant** Rod S. Margo, Esq. Bumbo (PTY) Ltd Condon & Forsyth LLP 1901 Avenue of the Stars Suite 850 Los Angeles, CA 90067-6010 310-557-2030 310-557-1299 (fax) ijohnston@condonlaw.com

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Oakland, California on September 8, 2008.

Alexine Braun

25

26

2.7

28

25131\430811